Stanford



Mark Lemley

William Neukom Professor of Law and Senior Fellow at the Stanford Institute for Economic Policy Research

Stanford Law School

Curriculum Vitae available Online

Resume available Online

Bio

BIO

Mark Lemley is the William H. Neukom Professor of Law at Stanford Law School and the Director of the Stanford Program in Law, Science and Technology. He is also a Senior Fellow at the Stanford Institute for Economic Policy Research and is affiliated faculty in the Symbolic Systems program. He teaches intellectual property, patent law, trademark law, antitrust, the law of robotics and AI, video game law, and remedies. He is the author of 11 books and 218 articles, including the two-volume treatise IP and Antitrust. His works have been cited more than 300 times by courts, including 19 times by the United States Supreme Court, and more than 40,000 times in books and academic articles, making him the most-cited scholar in IP law and one of the ten most cited legal scholars of all time. He has published 9 of the 100 most-cited law review articles of the last twenty years, more than any other scholar, and is the third most cited legal scholar in the world from 2016-2020. His articles have appeared in 24 of the top 25 law reviews and in top journals in other fields, including Nature Biotechnology, the American Economic Review, the Review of Economics and Statistics, and the Harvard Business Review, and in multiple peer-reviewed and specialty journals. They have been reprinted throughout the world and translated into Chinese, Danish, Japanese, Korean, Italian, Portuguese, and Spanish. He has taught IP law to judges at numerous Federal Judicial Center and ABA programs, has testified eight times before Congress, and has filed more than 70 amicus briefs in the U.S. Supreme Court and other courts.

Mark is of counsel at the law firm Lex Lumina. He litigates and counsels clients in all areas of intellectual property, antitrust, and internet law. He has argued 30 federal appellate cases and numerous district court cases as well as before the California Supreme Court. He has participated in more than three dozen cases in the United States Supreme Court as counsel or amici. His client base is diverse and has included Genentech, Dykes on Bikes, video game companies, artists, computer scientists, and nearly every significant Internet company.

Mark cofounded Lex Machina, Inc., a startup company that provides litigation data and analytics to law firms, companies, courts, and policymakers. Lex Machina was acquired by Lexis in December 2015.

Mark has been named California Lawyer's Attorney of the Year twice. He received the California State Bar's inaugural IP Vanguard Award. He won the 2018 World Technology Award for Law. In 2017 he received the P.J. Federico Award from the Patent and Trademark Office Society. Back when he was young, he was named a Young Global Leader by the Davos World Economic Forum and Berkeley Law School's Young Alumnus of the Year. He has been recognized as one of the top 50 litigators in the country under 45 and one of the 25 most influential people in IP by American Lawyer, one of the 100 most influential lawyers in the nation by the National Law Journal, and one of the 10 most admired attorneys in IP by IP360. He is a member of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences, the American Law Institute, and the IP Hall of Fame.

Mark clerked for Judge Dorothy Nelson on the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit and has practiced law with Brown & Bain, Fish & Richardson, Keker & Van Nest, and Durie Tangri. He has previously taught at Berkeley Law School and the University of Texas School of Law. In his spare time, Mark enjoys cooking, travel, yoga, and video games (at this writing, Zelda: Tears of the Kingdom).

ACADEMIC APPOINTMENTS

- · Professor, Stanford Law School
- Senior Fellow, Stanford Institute for Economic Policy Research (SIEPR)
- Faculty Affiliate, Institute for Human-Centered Artificial Intelligence (HAI)
- Member, Wu Tsai Neurosciences Institute

PROGRAM AFFILIATIONS

- Public Policy
- Symbolic Systems Program

Research & Scholarship

CURRENT RESEARCH AND SCHOLARLY INTERESTS

intellectual property, Internet, and antitrust law; law and AI/robotics

Teaching

COURSES

2023-24

- Intellectual Property and Antitrust Law: LAW 4006 (Aut)
- Intellectual Property: Trademarks: LAW 4012 (Aut)

2022-23

- Introduction to Intellectual Property: LAW 4005 (Spr)
- Remedies: LAW 7038 (Win)
- Video Game Law: LAW 4029 (Win)

2021-22

- Intellectual Property and Antitrust Law: LAW 4006 (Win)
- Intellectual Property: Trademarks: LAW 4012 (Aut)
- Introduction to Intellectual Property: LAW 4005 (Spr)

2020-21

- Discussion (1L): Robot Ethics: LAW 240L (Aut)
- Introduction to Intellectual Property: LAW 4005 (Spr)
- Remedies: LAW 7038 (Aut)
- Video Game Law: LAW 4029 (Win)

STANFORD ADVISEES

Doctoral Dissertation Advisor (AC)

Yutang Hsiao

Publications

PUBLICATIONS

• Illegal interlocks among life science company boards of directors. Journal of law and the biosciences

Manjunath, A., Kahrobai, N., Lemley, M. A., Kumar, I.

2024; 11 (1): lsae005

• THE EXCLUSIVE RIGHT TO CUSTOMIZE? BOSTON UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A., Mazzurco, S.

2023; 103 (2): 385-473

• THE BENEFIT OF THE BARGAIN WISCONSIN LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A.

2023: 237-286

• Editorial: Scarcity, regulation, and the abundance society. Frontiers in research metrics and analytics

Desai, D. R., Lemley, M. A.

2022; 7: 1104460

• Interfaces and Interoperability After Google v. Oracle TEXAS LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A., Samuelson, P.

2021; 100 (1): 1-54

• DISAPPEARING CONTENT BOSTON UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A.

2021; 101 (4): 1255-1288

• Does Alice Target Patent Trolls? JOURNAL OF EMPIRICAL LEGAL STUDIES

Lemley, M. A., Zyontz, S.

2021

• THE SPLINTERNET DUKE LAW JOURNAL

Lemley, M. A.

2021; 70 (6): 1297-1327

• Abandoning Trade Secrets STANFORD LAW REVIEW

Hrdy, C. A., Lemley, M. A.

2021; 73 (10): 1-66

• Chief Justice Webster IOWA LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A.

2020; 106 (1): 299-323

• THE MEDICARE INNOVATION SUBSIDY NEW YORK UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A., Ouellette, L., Sachs, R. E.

2020; 95 (1): 75-129

• WITHOUT PREAMBLE BOSTON UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A.

2020; 100 (2): 357-88

Playing Both Sides? Branded Sales, Generic Drugs, and Antitrust Policy HASTINGS LAW JOURNAL

Carrier, M. A., Lemley, M. A., Miller, S.

2020; 71 (2): 307-58

• YOU MIGHT BE A ROBOT CORNELL LAW REVIEW

Casey, B., Lemley, M. A.

2020; 105 (2): 287-361

• UNFAIR DISRUPTION BOSTON UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A., McKenna, M. P.

2020; 100 (1): 71-131

• Pledging intellectual property for COVID-19. Nature biotechnology

Contreras, J. L., Eisen, M. n., Ganz, A. n., Lemley, M. n., Molloy, J. n., Peters, D. M., Tietze, F. n.

2020; 38 (10): 1146-49

• Remedies for Robots UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A., Casey, B.

2019; 86 (5): 1311-96

• THE RIGHT OF PUBLICITY: PRIVACY REIMAGINED FOR A PUBLIC WORLD (Book Review) MICHIGAN LAW REVIEW

Book Review Authored by: Lemley, M. A.

2019; 117 (6): 1153-78

• The Patent Enforcement Iceberg TEXAS LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A., Richardson, K., Oliver, E.

2019; 97 (4): 801-33

• HOW ESSENTIAL ARE STANDARD-ESSENTIAL PATENTS? CORNELL LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A., Simcoe, T.

2019; 104 (2): 607-42

• The Sound and Fury of Patent Activity MINNESOTA LAW REVIEW

Feldman, R. C., Lemley, M. A.

2019; 103 (4): 1793–1877

• INTELLIGENT DESIGN DUKE LAW JOURNAL

Buccafusco, C., Lemley, M. A., Masur, J. S.

2018; 68 (1): 75-139

• LAW, VIRTUAL REALITY, AND AUGMENTED REALITY UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A., Volokh, E.

2018; 166 (5): 1051-1138

• Can a Court Change the Law by Saying Nothing? VANDERBILT LAW REVIEW

Gugliuzza, P. R., Lemley, M. A.

2018; 71 (3): 765–820

• IS PATENT ENFORCEMENT EFFICIENT? BOSTON UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A., Feldman, R.

2018; 98 (2): 649-67

• FUNCTIONALITY SCREENS VIRGINIA LAW REVIEW

Buccafusco, C., Lemley, M. A.

2017; 103 (7): 1293-1378

• Is Pepsi Really a Substitute for Coke? Market Definition in Antitrust and IP CAMBRIDGE HANDBOOK OF ANTITRUST, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, AND HIGH TECH

Lemley, M. A., McKenna, M. P., Blair, R. D., Sokol, D. D.

2017: 183-203

• Patent Licensing, Technology Transfer, and Innovation AMERICAN ECONOMIC REVIEW

Lemley, M. A., Feldman, R.

2016; 106 (5): 188-192

• READY FOR PATENTING BOSTON UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A.

2016; 96 (3): 1171-1195

• If You Can't Beat 'Em, Join 'Em? How Sitting by Designation Affects Judicial Behavior TEXAS LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A., Miller, S. P.

2016; 94 (3): 451-484

• Do Patent Licensing Demands Mean Innovation? IOWA LAW REVIEW

Feldman, R., Lemley, M. A.

2015; 101 (1): 137-189

• Antitrust Arbitration and Illinois Brick IOWA LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A., Leslie, C. R.

2015; 100 (5): 2115-2133

• Our Divided Patent System UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO LAW REVIEW

Allison, J. R., Lemley, M. A., Schwartz, D. L.

2015; 82 (3): 1073-1154

• Faith-Based Intellectual Property UCLA LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A.

2015; 62 (5): 1328-1346

• IP IN A WORLD WITHOUT SCARCITY NEW YORK UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A.

2015; 90 (2): 460-515

• Does "Public Use" Mean the Same Thing It Did Last Year? TEXAS LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A.

2015; 93 (5): 1119-1136

• ANTITRUST ARBITRATION AND MERGER APPROVAL NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A., Leslie, C. R.

2015; 110 (1): 1-62

• Understanding the Realities of Modern Patent Litigation TEXAS LAW REVIEW

Allison, J. R., Lemley, M. A., Schwartz, D. L.

2014; 92 (7): 1769-1801

• THE AUDIENCE IN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY INFRINGEMENT MICHIGAN LAW REVIEW

Fromer, J. C., Lemley, M. A.

2014; 112 (7): 1251-1304

• DOES FAMILIARITY BREED CONTEMPT AMONG JUDGES DECIDING PATENT CASES? STANFORD LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A., Li, S., Urban, J. M.

2014; 66 (5): 1121-1157

• WHY DO JURIES DECIDE IF PATENTS ARE VALID? VIRGINIA LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A.

2013; 99 (8): 1673-1736

• MISSING THE FOREST FOR THE TROLLS COLUMBIA LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A., Melamed, A. D.

2013; 113 (8): 2117-2190

• Do applicant patent citations matter? RESEARCH POLICY

Cotropia, C. A., Lemley, M. A., Sampat, B.

2013; 42 (4): 844-854

• SOFTWARE PATENTS AND THE RETURN OF FUNCTIONAL CLAIMING WISCONSIN LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A.

2013: 905-964

• The Fractioning of Patent Law Symposium on the Connection between Intellectual Property and the Common Law

Lemley, M. A.

CAMBRIDGE UNIV PRESS.2013: 504-513

• THE REGULATORY TURN IN IP HARVARD JOURNAL OF LAW AND PUBLIC POLICY

Lemley, M. A.

2013; 36 (1): 109-115

• PATENT HOLDUP, THE ITC, AND THE PUBLIC INTEREST CORNELL LAW REVIEW

Chien, C. V., Lemley, M. A.

2012; 98 (1): 1-45

• EXAMINER CHARACTERISTICS AND PATENT OFFICE OUTCOMES REVIEW OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS

Lemley, M. A., Sampat, B.

2012; 94 (3): 817-827

• Is Pepsi Really a Substitute for Coke? Market Definition in Antitrust and IP GEORGETOWN LAW JOURNAL

Lemley, M. A., McKenna, M. P.

2012; 100 (6): 2055-2117

• Contracting Around Liability Rules CALIFORNIA LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A.

2012; 100 (2): 463-486

• THE MYTH OF THE SOLE INVENTOR MICHIGAN LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A.

2012; 110 (5): 709-760

• POINT OF NOVELTY NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A.

2011; 105 (3): 1253-1280

• LIFE AFTER BILSKI STANFORD LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A., Risch, M., Sichelman, T., Wagner, R. P.

2011; 63 (6): 1315-1347

 $\bullet \ \ \textbf{Patent Quality and Settlement Among Repeat Patent Litigants} \ \textit{GEORGETOWN LAW JOURNAL}$

Allison, J. R., Lemley, M. A., Walker, J.

2011; 99 (3): 677-712

• Who Chooses Open-Source Software? UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A., Shafir, Z.

2011; 78 (1): 139-164

• EARNING EXCLUSIVITY: GENERIC DRUG INCENTIVES AND THE HATCH-WAXMAN ACT ANTITRUST LAW JOURNAL

Hemphill, C. S., Lemley, M. A.

2011; 77 (3): 947-989

• The surprising virtues of treating trade secrets as IP rights Workshop on Trade Secrecy/Conference of the Engelberg Center on Innovation Law and Policy Lemley, M. A.

EDWARD ELGAR PUBLISHING LTD.2011: 109-139

• OWNING MARK(ET)S MICHIGAN LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A., McKenna, M. P.

2010; 109 (2): 137-189

• OUR BIZARRE SYSTEM FOR PROVING COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT JOURNAL OF THE COPYRIGHT SOCIETY OF THE USA

Lemley, M. A.

2010; 57 (4): 719-742

• IRRELEVANT CONFUSION STANFORD LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A., McKenna, M.

2010; 62 (2): 413-454

• EXTREME VALUE OR TROLLS ON TOP? THE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE MOST-LITIGATED PATENTS UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA LAW REVIEW

Allison, J. R., Lemley, M. A., Walker, J.

2009; 158 (1): 1-37

• FENCE POSTS OR SIGN POSTS? RETHINKING PATENT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA LAW REVIEW

Burk, D. L., Lemley, M. A.

2009; 157 (6): 1743-1799

• Antitrust Law and Regulatory Gaming TEXAS LAW REVIEW

Dogan, S. L., Lemley, M. A.

2009; 87 (4): 685-729

• THE SURPRISING VIRTUES OF TREATING TRADE SECRETS AS IP RIGHTS STANFORD LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A.

2008; 61 (2): 311-353

• Categorical analysis in antitrust jurisprudence IOWA LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A., Leslie, C. R.

2008; 93 (4): 1207-1270

• Rethinking patent law's presumption of validity STANFORD LAW REVIEW

Lichtman, D., Lemley, M. A.

2007; 60 (1): 45-72

• Grounding trademark law through trademark use IOWA LAW REVIEW

Dogan, S. L., Lemley, M. A.

2007; 92 (5): 1669-1701

• Patent holdup and royalty stacking TEXAS LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A., Shapiro, C.

2007; 85 (7): 1991-2049

• Should patent infringement require proof of copying? MICHIGAN LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A.

2007; 105 (7): 1525-1536

• Should property or liability rules govern information? TEXAS LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A., Weiser, P. J.

2007; 85 (4): 783-841

• The (unnoticed) demise of the doctrine of equivalents STANFORD LAW REVIEW

Allison, J. R., Lemley, M. A.

2007; 59 (4): 955-984

• Terms of use MINNESOTA LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A.

2006; 91 (2): 459-483

• UNILATERAL REFUSALS TO LICENSE JOURNAL OF COMPETITION LAW & ECONOMICS

Hovenkamp, H., Janis, M. D., Lemley, M. A.

2006; 2 (1): 1-42

• What the right of publicity can learn from trademark law STANFORD LAW REVIEW

Dogan, S. L., Lemley, M. A.

2006; 58 (4): 1161-1220

• Patenting nanotechnology STANFORD LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A. 2005; 58 (2): 601-630

• Probabilistic patents JOURNAL OF ECONOMIC PERSPECTIVES

Lemley, M. A., Shapiro, C. 2005; 19 (2): 75-98

• Property, intellectual property, and free riding TEXAS LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A.

2005; 83 (4): 1031-1075

• Reducing digital copyright infringement without restricting innovation STANFORD LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A., Reese, R. A. 2004; 56 (6): 1345-1434

• Valuable patents GEORGETOWN LAW JOURNAL

Allison, J. R., Lemley, M. A., Moore, K. A., Trunkey, R. D. 2004; 92 (3): 435-479

Balancing ease and accuracy in assessing pharmaceutical exclusion payments MINNESOTA LAW REVIEW

HOVENKAMP, H., Janis, M. D., Lemley, M. A.

2004; 88 (3): 712-721

• Ending abuse of patent continuations BOSTON UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A., Moore, K. A. 2004; 84 (1): 63-?

• Policy levers in patent law VIRGINIA LAW REVIEW

Burk, D. L., Lemley, M. A. 2003; 89 (7): 1575-1696

• Are the US patent priority rules really necessary? HASTINGS LAW JOURNAL

Lemley, M. A., Chien, C. V. 2003; 54 (5): 1299-1333

• Anticompetitive settlement of intellectual property disputes MINNESOTA LAW REVIEW

HOVENKAMP, H., Janis, M., Lemley, M. A.

2003; 87 (6): 1719-1766

• Biotechnology's uncertainty principle PERSPECTIVES ON PROPERTIES OF THE HUMAN GENOME PROJECT

Burk, D. L., Lemley, M. A.

2003; 50: 305-353

• The growing complexity of the United States patent system BOSTON UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW

Allison, J. R., Lemley, M. A. 2002; 82 (1): 77-144

• The end of end-to-end: Preserving the architecture of the Internet in the broadband era UCLA LAW REVIEW

Lemley, M. A., Lessig, L.

2001; 48 (4): 925-972

• Who's patenting what? An empirical exploration of patent prosecution Conference on Taking Stock: the Law and Economics of Intellectual Property Rights Allison, J. R., Lemley, M. A.

VANDERBILT LAW REVIEW.2000: 2099-2174

• Private property Conference on Cyberspace and Privacy - A New Legal Paradigm

Lemley, M. A.

STANFORD UNIV, STANFORD LAW SCHOOL.2000: 1545-57

• Encouraging software reuse STANFORD LAW REVIEW Lemley, M. A., OBRIEN, D. W. 1997; 49 (2): 255-304